## Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## CHARLES W. LOGAN,

Plaintiff,

VS.

STATE FARM,

Defendant.

CASE No. 17-cv-03031-YGR

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO DISMISS CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE, DENYING MOTION TO CHANGE VENUE, DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE

Re: Dkt. Nos. 14, 16

The Court has reviewed Magistrate Judge Kandis A. Westmore's Report and Recommendation Recommending Dismissal of Action for Failure to Prosecute (Dkt. No. 14), issued December 6, 2017. No objection was filed to the Report and Recommendation, however plaintiff Charles W. Logan filed a Motion to Change Venue (Dkt. No. 16) on December 22, 2017.

The Court finds the Report and Recommendation correct, well-reasoned, and thorough, and adopts it in every respect. Plaintiff Charles W. Logan has filed two complaints which failed to set forth facts and legal theories to state a claim on which relief may be granted, which were dismissed with leave to amend pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1915(e)(2). (Dkt. Nos. 9, 12.) Plaintiff was given an additional opportunity to file an amended complaint by no later than October 6, 2017, and did not do so, either by that deadline or thereafter. Further, plaintiff did not respond to an Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal for failure to prosecute, which required a response by November 3, 2017. (Dkt. No. 13.)

Plaintiff's motion to change venue does not cure the deficiencies in his complaint, nor does it seek leave to amend the complaint or set forth cognizable claims. While plaintiff's motion

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff also subsequently filed an appeal which stayed consideration of action herein until such time as it was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction on May 23, 2018. (See Order in Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal Case No. 18-15017, at Dkt. No. 19 herein.)

contends that he has injuries arising from the conduct of officers and employees of the federal judiciary, plaintiff does not state what those injuries are or name a defendant other than State Farm, a private insurance company. In addition, plaintiff's motion does not request that the venue of this action be changed to any other, nor does it state a factual basis for such a change of venue.

Accordingly, and for the reasons set forth in the Report and herein:

- 1. the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. No. 14) to dismiss the amended complaint without prejudice is **ADOPTED** in full.
  - 2. the motion to change venue (Dkt. No. 16) is **DENIED**;
- 3. the action herein is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to prosecute due to plaintiff's failure to file a second amended complaint.

This Order terminates the case. The Clerk shall close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 31, 2018

YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE